UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,086	05/30/2006	Dominique Jean-Pierre Mabire	PRD-2121 USPCT	1676
27777 7590 08/11/2009 PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE FOUNGON & JOHNSON PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			JAISLE, CECILIA M	
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/596,086	MABIRE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cecilia M. Jaisle	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	rawn from consideration.	
	nor	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Applica iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Rejections Under 35 USC 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2, 3, 6, 12, 13, 15 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which the specification does not describe in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, when the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

There is no support in the application as filed for the added proviso in currently amended claim 2 "that when n is 0, X is N, R2 is hydrogen, R3 is **Z**, Z is the heterocyclic ring system (c-2) or (c-4) wherein said heterocyclic ring system Z is attached **to the rest of the molecule** with a nitrogen atom, and R10 is hydrogen; then R4 is other than **hydrogen**, C1-6alkyl or pyridinyl." Applicants supposedly point to support for the added proviso in original claim 1. However, the claim 1 proviso states:

"when n is 0, X is N, R2 is hydrogen, R3 is a group of formula (b-1), Z is the heterocyclic ring system (c-2) or (c-4) wherein said heterocyclic ring system Z is attached to the rest of the molecule with a nitrogen atom, and R10 is hydrogen; then R4 is other than hydrogen, C1-6alkyl or pyridinyl."

The phrases in bold in currently amended claim 2 differ from the original claim 1 proviso. Applicants do not provide reasons for the differences, or how the specification as filed supports the identified proviso in currently amended claim 2.

Art Unit: 1624

Introduction of claim changes which involve claim narrowing by introducing elements or limitations not supported by the as-filed disclosure is a violation of 35 USC 112, first paragraph, written description requirement. See *Fujikawa v. Wattanasin*, 39 USPQ2d 1895, 1905 (Fed. Cir. 1996) (a "laundry list" disclosure of every possible moiety does not constitute a written description of every species in a genus; it does not "reasonably lead" one skilled in the art to any particular species or sub-genus).

The application as filed does not disclose the proposed new proviso in *ipsis verbis*. *Ipsis verbis* disclosure is not necessary to satisfy section 112 written description requirement. Instead, the disclosure need only reasonably convey to persons skilled in the art that applicant had possession of the subject matter in question. *In re Edwards*, 196 USPQ 465, 467 (CCPA 1978). In other words, the question is whether the present "application provides adequate direction which reasonably [would lead] persons skilled in the art" to the sub-genus of the proposed claim. As was remarked by the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals more than forty years ago and remains true today:

It is an old custom in the woods to mark trails by making blaze marks on the trees. It is no help in finding a trail . . . to be confronted simply by a large number of unmarked trees. [Applicants] are pointing to trees. We are looking for blaze marks which single out particular trees. We see none.

In re Ruschig, <u>154 USPQ 118, 122</u> (CCPA 1967).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,086 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 2, 3, 6, 12, 13, 15, 27, 28 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2:

- It is not understood what is meant in the proviso by "Z is attached with a nitrogen atom." Note that the definition of R3 shows that Z is a divalent moiety and there is no definition of what is attached to the Z extra valence. Further, it is not understood what is intended by "with a nitrogen atom." It is not possible for Z to be attached by nitrogen, because the intended ring nitrogen is undefined. The ring nitrogen atom in Z has a hydrogen substituent and can support no further substituents. It is not possible for an extra nitrogen to be interposed between the Z moiety and R3, because such a structure is not provided for by formula (I) and such a structure would have unsatisfied nitrogen valences.
- The R6 definition "arylcarbonylpiperidinylC1-6alkyl or arylC1-6alkyl(C1-6alkyl)aminoC1-6alkyl" is not understandable. The carbonyl-to-piperidinyl attachment is undefined. It is unclear how the 3 C1-6 alkyl moieties are arranged in the last recited R6 definition. Are they in a single line or are 2 attached to the amino group?
- The Z moiety (c-2) is undefined.
- The R10 definition "C1-6alkyloxyC1-6alkylamino" is not understandable. It is unclear how the two C1-6 alkyl moieties are arranged. Are they in a single line or are the oxy moiety and the C1-moiety 6 attached to the amino group?

Art Unit: 1624

• The new aryl definition confuses the previous use of the term aryl. "Aryl" is not used alone previously in the claim. Are the arylC1-6alkyl moiety of R4 and the arylcarbonylpiperidinylC1-6alkyl or arylC1-6alkyl(C1-6alkyl)-aminoC1-6alkyl moieties of R6 to be understood to have the additional substituents on their included aryl moieties?

Claims 12, 27, 28, 33:

• It is not understood what is intended by a "chemotherapeutic agent." The specification recites cisplatin and bleomycin as chemotherapeutic agents (¶ 0015). The specification also recites examples of chemotherapeutic agents that may be used in conjunction with radiosensitizers include, but are not limited to: adriamycin, camptothecin, carboplatin, cisplatin, daunorubicin, docetaxel, doxorubicin, interferon (alpha, beta, gamma), interleukin 2, irinotecan, paclitaxel and topotecan (¶ 0156).

Allowed Claims

Claims 4, 16, 31 and 32 are allowed. An examiner's statement of reasons for allowance can be found in the Office Action of May 15, 2008.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cecilia M. Jaisle whose telephone number is 571-272-9931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday; 8:30 am through 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Application/Control Number: 10/596,086 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. If you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cecilia M. Jaisle/ Patent Examiner, AU 1624

/James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1624